	Case 3:09-cv-026	15-W-C	AB Documer	nt 2	Filed 11/1	9/09	Page 1 of 1	
То:	Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Of P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450				ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK			
been f	mpliance with 35 U.S.O	C. § 290 a	nd/or 15 U.S.C.	§ 1116 of Calif	you are here fornia on the	eby ad follov	vised that a court action has ving: _X_ Patents or	
DOCKET NO.		DATE FILED		US District Court Southern District of California				
3:09-cv-02615-W-CAB 11/19/		11/19/09	09 San Diego, CA					
	PLAINTIFF				DEFENDANT			
Oakley, Inc				Pepper's Performance Eyewear, Inc.				
PATENT OR		PATENT OR		PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.				
	RADEMARK NO 87 040	6. D463	EMARK NO	11 D	399.866	KADI	EMARK NU.	
		<b>7.</b> 5.054						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		<b>8.</b> 5.137		13.				
4. D547,794 9. D333,14		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14.					
		10. D38	<del></del>					
In the above-entitled case, the following patents(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:								
DATE INCLUDED			INCLUDED BY					
			_Amendment_			Bill_		
PATENT OR				PATENT OR			PATENT OR	
TRADEMARK NO.			TRADEMARK NO.		11.	TRADEMARK NO.		
2						12.	<del></del>	
2				13.				
4. 9.				14.				
5. 10.				15.				
<u> </u>	In the above-entit		<del></del>	cision			or judgment issued:	
DECISION/JUDGMENT BETWEEN								
		V						

(BY) DEPUTY CLERK

CLERK

W. Samuel Hamrick, Jr.

DATE 4 2010

	Case 3.09-cv-02015-vv-CAB Document 1	it Filed 03/03/10 Page For 3						
è								
,	FILED							
1	2010 HAR -3 PM 2: 22							
2	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DUUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
3	BY CALIFORNIA							
4	DEPUTY							
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
7	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
8								
9	OAKLEY, INC., a Washington	Case No.: 09 CV 2615 W (CAB)						
10	corporation,							
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER ON STIPULATED CONSENT						
12	vs. JUDGMENT AND DISMISSAL							
13	PEPPER'S PERFORMANCE EYEWEAR, INC. dba CHILI'S EYEGEAR, a Pennsylvania corporation,							
14								
15	•							
16	Defendant.							
17	This matter has come before this Court for consideration upon the Complaint							
18	of the plaintiff, Oakley, Inc. ("Plaintiff"), for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §							
19	271 against Pepper's Performance Eyewear, Inc. dba Chili's Eyegear ("Defendant").							
20	1							
21	Judgment under the terms provided herein:							
22	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:							
23	1. Plaintiff is a Washington corporation with a principal place of business at							
24								
25	ji							
26								
27	Pennsylvania 15219 ("Peppers").							
28								
		Order for Dismissal						
ļ	II	Other In Digition						

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this Action, personal jurisdiction over the parties and venue is proper in this judicial district. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under, *inter alia*, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332 and 1338. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has caused its products to be advertised, promoted, and sold in this judicial district, and the causes of action arose out of those contacts. For these same reasons, venue is proper in this judicial district, including under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)-(c). The parties stipulate that this Court may exercise jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment.
- 4. Plaintiff is a manufacturer of high performance sunglasses, eyewear and other products and the owner of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,387,949, 5,638,145, 5,054,903, 5,137,342, D554,689, D547,794, D556,818, D463,478, D333,145, D384,364, D399,866, and D399,519.
- 5. Defendant Peppers Performance Eyewear, Inc. is a manufacturer, importer, and distributor of sunglasses under the Pepper's and Chili's Eyegear brand names.
- 6. The parties consent that this Court enter and approve this Consent Judgment whereby:
  - (i) Defendant Peppers agrees to not contest the validity or enforceability of Oakley's U.S. Patent Nos. 5,387,949, 5,638,145, 5,054,903, 5,137,342, D554,689, D547,794, D556,818, D463,478, D333,145, D384,364, D399,866, and D399,519. Defendant Peppers also acknowledges and agrees that U.S. Patent Nos. 5,387,949, 5,638,145, 5,054,903, 5,137,342, D554,689, D547,794, D556,818, D463,478, D333,145, D384,364, D399,866, and D399,519 are valid and enforceable;
  - (ii) Defendant Peppers, and its officers, employees, agents, and successors in interest, is hereby permanently enjoined and restrained as of the date of this order from making, importing,

using, offering to sell, or selling any eyewear that infringes any one or more of the designs or claims of United States Patent Nos. 5,387,949, 5,638,145, 5,054,903, 5,137,342, D554,689, D547,794, D556,818, D463,478, D333,145, D384,364, D399,866, and D399,519, during their terms, without license or other authority from Oakley, and from offering or advertising to do so, and from aiding or abetting in any way or inducing or contributing to the infringement of these patents.

- (iii) As compensation to Oakley in this matter, Peppers has agreed to pay Oakley the sum of one hundred thirty-five thousand dollars (\$135,000.00).
- 7. The parties further agree to waive all right to appeal from this judgment and that each party shall bear its own costs and fees.
- 8. Notwithstanding the above waiver of all right to appeal, and other than as set forth in this Consent Judgment, Defendant makes no admission hereby as to any individual allegation in the Complaint or to liability based thereon.
  - 9. Each Party shall bear its own costs.
- 10. The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America or the State of California, as applicable, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 11. All claims, defenses, and counterclaims of Oakley and Defendant Peppers are dismissed with prejudice.

DATED: 3/3/14

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE